General Outcome Indicators (GOIs) of Early Literacy & Reading Data Interpretation Guide

THIRD GRADE 2012-2013

Christopher Parker, Ph.D.
IDEAL Consulting Services, Inc.
(508) 636-6615
(866) 254-6136
cparker@idealconsultingservices.com
www.idealconsultingservices.com



Established Benchmarks Levels

Third Grade

	Beginnin	g of Year	Middle of Year		End of Year	
Measure	Performance	Benchmark Status	Performance	Benchmark Status	Performance	Benchmark Status
CBM/ORF	0 - 52	Well Below	0 - 66	Well Below	0 - 79	Well Below
	53 - 76	Below	67 - 91	Below	80 - 109	Below
	≥ 77	At/Above	≥ 92	At/Above	≥ 110	At/Above
CBM Maze					<u>></u> 18	At/Above
D-ORF*	0 - 54	Well Below	0 - 67	Well Below	0 - 79	Well Below
	55 - 69	Below	68 - 85	Below	80 - 99	Below
	≥ 70	At/Above	≥ 86	At/Above	≥ 100	At/Above
	0 - 4	Well Below	0 - 6	Well Below	0 - 13	Well Below
DAZE	5 - 7	Below	7 - 10	Below	14 - 18	Below
	≥ 8	At/Above	≥ 11	At/Above	≥ 19	At/Above

Massachusetts Public Schools' Norms: Third Grade

Subtest	Well Above Average	Above Average	Average	Low Average	Below Average	Well Below Average	
	Fall						
■ CBM/ORF	≥ 142	120-141	86-119	72-85	55-71	≤ 54	
■ CBM Maze	≥ 22	17-21	12-16	10-11	7-9	≤ 6	
■ D-ORF*	≥ 134	110-133	79-109	67-78	48-66	≤ 47	
■ DAZE	≥ 21	17-20	11-16	8-10	5-7	≤ 4	
			Winter				
■ CBM/ORF	≥ 162	142-161	108-141	94-107	75-93	≤ 74	
■ CBM Maze	≥ 29	24-28	17-23	15-16	11-14	≤ 10	
■ D-ORF*	≥ 150	128-149	96-127	84-95	61-83	≤ 60	
■ DAZE	≥ 27	23-26	14-22	10-13	7-9	≤ 6	
Spring							
■ CBM/ORF	≥ 176	154-175	123-153	109-122	92-108	≤ 91	
■ CBM Maze	≥ 35	29-34	21-28	18-20	14-17	≤ 13	
■ D-ORF*	≥ 164	142-163	107-141	94-106	73-93	≤ 72	
■ DAZE	≥ 34	28-33	20-27	17-19	13-16	≤ 12	

Norms developed for use during the 2012-2013 school year.

Expected Weekly Growth Rates for *CBM/ORF/D-ORF**, *CBM Maze*, & *DAZE*

GRADE 3					
Subtest	Realistic	Ambitious			
CBM/ORF/D-ORF*	1.00	1.50			
CBM Maze	0.30	0.46			
DAZE	N/A	N/A			

^{*}D-ORF - DIBELS® Next Oral Reading Fluency (DORF)

From Fuchs, Fuchs, Hamlett, Walz, & Germann (1993). Formative evaluation of academic progress: How much growth can we expect? School Psychology Review, 22(1), 27-48.

Note: From C. Parker (2012). [Utilizing CBM-MAZE to examine the weekly growth rates of grades 2-8 students' reading comprehension skills]. Unpublished raw data.

Shaping Expectations... Predicting MCAS Success in Grade 3

 Within their 2005 report, the UMASS Donahue Institute provided further evidence for trying to help students achieve General Outcome Indicator benchmark standards:

Fall ORF - Grade 3	%	Late Spring - Grade 3
Low Risk for ORF (\geq 77 WRC)	72	Proficient on MCAS*
At Risk for ORF (≤ 52 WRC)	19	Proficient on MCAS*

 To predict the number of students in your class who are likely to score proficient, use the following formula:

(# of students \geq 77) / (total # of students) * .72 * 100 =

Gain Scores Table: Third Grade

Subtest	Weeks	Ambitious	Typical	Less than Typical	
	5	≥ 9	5-8	≤ 4	
CBM/ORF/D-ORF*	8	≥ 13	8-12	≤ 7	
	10	≥ 16	10-15	≤ 9	
	5	≥ 3	2	≤ 1	
CBM Maze	8	≥ 4	2-3	≤ 1	
	10	≥ 5	3-4	≤ 2	
DAZE	NOT AVAILABLE				

*D-ORF - DIBELS® Next Oral Reading Fluency (DORF)

Massachusetts 2012-2013 40th Percentile Cut-off Scores for the 4-Square Instructional Grouping Tables

Third Grade

Tool	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Test	40 th %	40 th %	40 th %	
CBM/ORF	86	108	123	
CBM Maze	12	17	21	
D-ORF*	79	96	107	
DAZE	11	14	20	

^{*}D-ORF - DIBELS® Next Oral Reading Fluency (DORF)